

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

			_	
INTER STATES DEDARTMEN	A	OF.	COMM	EDC
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT	۱,۱	Or	COMM	ERC
UNITED STATES DEPARTMEN United States Patent and Trader	ha	rk /	Office	1
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PA	tri	NIT	c l	,
	ψı	1 × 1	R //	
P.O. Box 1450	١	1 1		

					\ /		
APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/713,271	10/713,271 11/17/2003		Dong Heon Lee	K-0550	1468		
34610	7590	04/06/2004	004		EXAMINER		
FLESHNER	FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			LEUNG, PHILIP H			
P.O. BOX 221	1200						
CHANTILLY		0153		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
J. 1. 20102				3742			

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)								
	10/713,271	LEE EȚ AL.								
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit								
	Philip H Leung	3742								
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).								
Status										
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ ,									
,	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.									
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar										
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims										
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.										
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16,18 and 19</u> is/are rejected.										
7) Claim(s) <u>17 and 20</u> is/are objected to.										
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•								
Application Papers										
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.									
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 17 November 2003 is/a	re: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ object	ed to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct										
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119										
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	•									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau	·									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.								
Attachment(s)										
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom Application (F 10-102)								

DETAILED ACTION

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

- 2. Figures 1-5 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- or --Related Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. Claim 7 is objected to as the term "the guide" has no proper antecedent basis. Should it be dependent on "claim 6" instead of "claim 1"? Furthermore, should "one" at line 2 be "one end" instead? Clarification is suggested.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 8-10, 15, 16, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sakurai (JP 63-127026).

Saikurai shows a microwave oven comprising an outer case forming a top and sides of a cabinet, a base plate forming a bottom of the cabinet; a front panel and a rear panel mounted in a

Application/Control Number: 10/713,271

Art Unit: 3742

front part and a rear part of the base plate for forming a front surface and a rear surface, respectively; an inner case forming a cooking chamber (1) on the base plate; first and second transformer (9, 10) mounted at comers of one side of the base plate; a fan (part of fan assembly 7) above the second transformer for drawing external air; a fan motor (part of fan assembly 7) connected to the fan for providing a driving power to the fan; a fan housing (part of fan assembly 7) for protecting the fan; an air duct (8) between the first and second transformers, having one end communication with the fan housing and the other end branched to first and second branch ducts; and first and second magnetrons (5, 6) on an outside surface of the inner case, the first and second magnetrons connected to the first and second branch ducts, respectively (see Figures 1-3 and the English translation). It also shows the plurality of inflow holes (15) as claimed in claim 2. In regard to claim 8, air outlet 14b is the claimed third outflow holes for discharging air passed through the second transformer (10). In regard to claim 9, the air duct is spaced apart from the inside wall of the oven casing as shown in Figure 3. In regard to claim 10, the air duct includes sloped duct walls as shown in Figures 1 and 2. In regard to claims 15 and 16, it also shows the first branch duct is connected to a second duct (11) for guiding flow of air to the rear panel, and the first magnetron (5) is mounted in the second duct and the rear panel includes a second outflow hole (13) connected to the second duct for discharging the air. In regard to claims 18 and 19, it also shows the second branch duct is connected to a third duct (12) for guiding flow of air to the rear panel, and the second magnetron (6) is mounted in the third duct and the rear panel includes a third outflow hole (14a) connected to the third duct for discharging the air.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,271

Art Unit: 3742

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 3-7 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakurai (JP 63-127026), in view of Yu (US 5,814,793).

Sakurai shows a microwave oven having every feature as claimed except the showing of a plurality of inlet holes in the inner case for introduction of the air passed through the air ducts and the magnetron (claims 3-5). Yu shows a microwave oven with a fan cooling system 14 for cooling the magnetron 12 mounted on the inner case and a transformer 13 on the base plate. It shows a plurality of holes 11c on the inner case for introducing the air passed the magnetron into the heating chamber 11 (see Figures 1-3 and col. 3, line 49 – col. 4, line 45). It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art to modify Sakurai to use inlet holes on the inner case portioning wall to direct air from the magnetron into the cavity for removing moisture from the cavity and additionally heating the food, for better cooking efficiency and result, in view of the teaching of Yu. In regard to claims 6 and 7, Yu shows the use of air guide 15 for directing air

Art Unit: 3742

flow to the magnetron. In regard to claims 11-14, Yu also teaches the use of air guide to direct cooling air over the fan motor (see Figure 1). The exact cooling air flow arrangement would have been a matter of engineering design variations of Sakurai and Yu once it is taught to use a branched air duct for cooling an assembly of two magnetrons and two transformers together.

- 8. Claims 17 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Morgan et al (US 4,184,945) and Yang (US 5,945,023) are further cited to show microwave ovens having a cooling assembly with similar claimed features.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip H Leung whose telephone number is (703) 308-1710.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Pothier can be reached on (703) 308-0265. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip H Leung (Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3742

P.Leung/pl 4-02-2004